

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/614,363	07/12/2000	John M. Airey	2839/115	2211
79351 7590 10/17/2008 Bromberg & Sunstein LLP 125 Summer Street			EXAMINER	
			WANG, JIN CHENG	
Boston, MA 0	2110-1618		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/614,363 AIREY ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JIN-CHENG WANG 2628 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/28/2008 & 8/4/2008. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)\(\times\) Claim(s) 1.3.5-13.22.26-33.35-37.45.47-56.58-60.62 and 63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.3.5-13.22.26-33.35-37.45.47-56.58-60.62 and 63 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of informal Patent Application

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendments

Applicant's submissions filed on 5/28/2008 have been entered. Claims 2, 4, 14-21, 23-25, 34, 38-44, 46, 57 and 61 have been canceled. Claims 1, 3, 5-13, 22, 26-33, 35-37, 45, 47-56, 58-60, and 62-63 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments and declarations filed May 28, 2008 have been fully considered and are not found persuasive to overcome the Baum et al U.S. Patent No. 6,567,083 for the reasons given below. However, the double patenting of the prior Office Action has been overcome by the terminal disclaimer filed on January 11, 2008.

Applicant filed on May 28, 2008, the declaration under 1.132. However, applicant ignored the fact that the claimed invention is by another as established in Baum et al U.S. Patent No. 6,567,083. The 1.132 declaration is to establish the claimed invention described in the reference patent application publication is NOT by another. In the contrary to applicant's 1.132 declaration that the claimed invention is NOT by another, the Baum reference not only describes the claimed invention, but also claimed the same subject matter as the present application. Since the cited reference claims the same subject matter as the present application, the claimed invention described in the reference patent is by another. See MPEP §715.01(a), §715.01(c), and §716.10.

According to MPEP 715.01(a) [R-2], when subject matter, disclosed <u>but not claimed in a</u> <u>patent or application publication</u> filed jointly by S and another, is claimed in a later application

Art Unit: 2628

filed by S, the joint patent or application publication is a valid reference >under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or (e)< unless overcome by affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 or an unequivocal declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 by S that he/she conceived or invented the subject matter disclosed in the patent or application publication and relied on in the rejection. The condition that the subject matter must be not claimed in a patent or application publication is NOT met in the present application. Another inventive entity claims the same subject matter in the reference application publication.

Although the 1.132 declaration can be employed as a vehicle to overcome the 102(e) rejection based on the disclosure of the reference publication (i.e., when the claimed invention of the present application is NOT by another), the 1.132 declaration cannot be employed as a vehicle to overcome the 102(e) rejection when the claimed subject matter of the present application is already established/claimed by ANOTHER inventive entity. Therefore, applicant's filing of 1.132 cannot be employed as a vehicle to overcome the Baum reference.

The present Office Action is construed in a further detail in response to applicant's argument, with respect to the Baum reference. In a summary, applicant's arguments and declarations are not found persuasive in view of the ground(s) of rejection set forth in the present Office Action based on the Baum reference.

Applicant's arguments filed May 28, 2008 have been fully considered. Applicant filed a terminal disclaimer on 1/11/2008 and therefore the obvious-type double patenting rejection in the prior Office Action dated 7/13/2007 is withdrawn.

Applicant argues with respect to the claim 31 and similar claims that the Baum reference has a common assignee at the time the claimed invention was filed. However, the claim invention

Art Unit: 2628

is fulfilled by Baum for the reasons given in the present Office Action based on further consideration of the Baum reference in view of the claimed invention.

As addressed below, Baum teaches a processor for performing geometric calculations on a plurality of vertices of a primitive (Fig. 6 and column 16, lines 17-67);

A rasterization circuit coupled to the processor that rasterizes the primitive according to a scan conversion process which operates using a floating point format (Fig. 6, column 9, lines 50-65 the polygons, triangles and vertices are rasterized on per-vertex basis and interpolated inside the triangle. The polygons, triangles and vertices are converted to pixels in the rasterization using the technique of interpolation, Rasterization includes the step of scan conversion in which the geometric objects are scan converted to pixels in the raster order, i.e., the polygons, triangles and/or vertices are scan converted to the pixels in the raster order; see column 16, lines 17-67 and column 17, lines 20-50; Baum teaches in Fig. 6, column 9, lines 50-65 and column 16, lines 17-67 the triangle rasterization, which is also referred to as triangle scan-conversion, is typically performed by an algorithm consisting of an interpolation phase----see column 17, lines 20-50. The triangle rasterization is based on an algebraic representation of the triangle by vertices and vectors. The current rasterization position in the screen space involves the computation of the cross-product --- see column 17, lines 20-50. This cross-product can be expressed for any arbitrary pixel position on the screen as an analytical function. The pixel color values depend upon the result of an evaluation at the current rasterization position. As the triangle is scanned, all primitives/fragments are interpolated),

A frame buffer coupled to the rasterization circuit for storing a plurality of color values in floating point formats (Floating point frame buffer is explicitly taught in column 12, lines 58-64;

Art Unit: 2628

see also Fig. 6; see column 10, lines 40-65 and column 11, lines 1-40 wherein color values are calculated as floating point values; see column 13, lines 27-55 wherein the red, green and blue components of each pixel are equal to the respective x, y and z components of the irradiance vector at that point. Because the irradiance vector is calculated as a floating point vector---see column 10, lines 40-65 and column 11, lines 1-40. The color values in the frame buffer are floating point values).

Therefore, the claimed invention is fulfilled by Baum for the reasons given above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed under the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 5-13, 22, 26-33, 35-37, 45, 47-56, 58-60, and 62-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baum et al U.S. Patent No. 6,567,083 (hereinafter Baum).

Re claims 1, 31 and 45, Baum teaches a processor for performing geometric calculations on a plurality of vertices of a primitive (Fig. 6 and column 16, lines 17-67);

A rasterization circuit coupled to the processor that rasterizes the primitive according to a scan conversion process which operates using a floating point format (Fig. 6, column 9, lines 50-65 the polygons, triangles and vertices are rasterized on per-vertex basis and interpolated inside the triangle. The polygons, triangles and vertices are converted to pixels in the rasterization

Art Unit: 2628

using the technique of interpolation. Rasterization includes the step of scan conversion in which the geometric objects are scan converted to pixels in the raster order, i.e., the polygons, triangles and/or vertices are scan converted to the pixels in the raster order; see column 16, lines 17-67 and column 17, lines 20-50; Baum teaches in Fig. 6, column 9, lines 50-65 and column 16, lines 17-67 the triangle rasterization, which is also referred to as triangle scan-conversion, is typically performed by an algorithm consisting of an interpolation phase----see column 17, lines 20-50. The triangle rasterization is based on an algebraic representation of the triangle by vertices and vectors. The current rasterization position in the screen space involves the computation of the cross-product---see column 17, lines 20-50. This cross-product can be expressed for any arbitrary pixel position on the screen as an analytical function. The pixel color values depend upon the result of an evaluation at the current rasterization position. As the triangle is scanned, all primitives/fragments are interpolated),

A frame buffer coupled to the rasterization circuit for storing a plurality of color values in floating point formats (Floating point frame buffer is explicitly taught in column 12, lines 58-64; see also Fig. 6; see column 10, lines 40-65 and column 11, lines 1-40 wherein color values are calculated as floating point values; see column 13, lines 27-55 wherein the red, green and blue components of each pixel are equal to the respective x, y and z components of the irradiance vector at that point. Because the irradiance vector is calculated as a floating point vector---see column 10, lines 40-65 and column 11, lines 1-40. The color values in the frame buffer are floating point values).

Art Unit: 2628

Re claims 3 and 47, Baum discloses a texture circuit coupled to the rasterization circuit with the graphics pipeline that applies a texture to the primitive, wherein the texture is specified by floating point values and a texture memory coupled to the texture circuit that stores a plurality of textures in floating point values (See Fig. 6 and column 14).

Re claims 5 and 48, Baum discloses the floating-point format is comprised of sixteen bits (column 10-11; see column 7, lines 10-30 wherein the floating point values require the floating point in sixteen bits and the double represents the thirty-two-bits values).

Baum discloses floating-point values have 16 bits (column 10-11; see column 7, lines 10-30 wherein the floating point values require the floating point in sixteen bits and the double represents the thirty-two-bits values)

Re claims 7 and 50, Baum discloses a lighting circuit coupled to the rasterization circuit for performing a lighting function, wherein the lighting function executes on floating point values (Fig. 16 and column 14).

Re claims 6, 8-13 and 22, 49, and 51-56, 58-60 and 62-63, the limitations of claims 6, 8-13, 22, 49 and 51-56, 58-60 and 62-63 are analyzed as discussed with respect to claim 1.

Re claim 26, Baum discloses the steps of writing, storing, and reading the data in the frame buffer in the floating point format are further comprised of specifying the floating-point format according to a specification, wherein the specification corresponds to a level of range and precision (Fig. 6 and column 10-16).

Art Unit: 2628

Re claims 32-33 and 35, Baum discloses the floating point color values are written to, read from (for display purposes), and stored in the frame buffer (column 13, lines 25-65).

Re claims 36-37, Baum discloses the floating point color values are comprised of 16 bits of data and the data are comprised of one sign bit, ten mantissa bits, and five exponent bits (column 10-16; column 10-11; see column 7, lines 10-30 wherein the floating point values require the floating point in sixteen bits and the double represents the thirty-two-bits values).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jin-Cheng Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7665. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 6:30 (Mon-Thu).

Art Unit: 2628

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on (571) 272-7794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jin-Cheng Wang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628